

# ECON 702 Macroeconomics I

## Discussion Handout 4 Solutions \*

16 February 2024

### 1 Content review

- The **social planner's problem** is to maximize utility of the household subject to resource feasibility. (Assume  $A_t = 1$  for notation)

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\{c_t, a_{t+1}\}} \sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t u(c_t) \\ \text{s.t. } & c_t + k_{t+1} = k_t^\alpha l_t^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta)k_t, \quad \forall t \\ & c_t \geq 0, \quad \forall t \\ & 1 \geq l_t \geq 0, \quad \forall t \\ & k_{T+1} = 0 \\ & k_0 \text{ is given} \end{aligned}$$

- The **first welfare theorem** states that if a competitive equilibrium exists and there are no externalities, market power, or imperfect information, then the competitive equilibrium allocation solves the social planner's problem.

- This is proved by showing that the Euler equation of the household in CE matches the optimality condition of the social planner.

$$1 + r_{t+1} = \alpha k_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta$$

- The **second welfare theorem** states that the allocation which solves the social planner's problem can be implemented as a competitive equilibrium.

- This is proved by finding some prices  $\{r_t, w_t\}$  such that the allocation as a function of prices matches the solution to the social planner's problem.

- The **Golden Rule** is the steady state level of capital that maximizes period consumption,  $k^g = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$ . However, this does not account for household discounting. The **modified Golden Rule** is the steady state capital which maximizes lifetime utility of the household including discounting,  $k^* = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\delta+\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$ .

---

\*Teaching Assistants: Anna Lukianova (Email: [lukianova@wisc.edu](mailto:lukianova@wisc.edu)) and John Ryan (Email: [john.p.ryan@wisc.edu](mailto:john.p.ryan@wisc.edu)). Based on the lecture notes by Jesus Fernandez-Villaverde and Dirk Krueger.

## 2 Perfect complements production

Consider an environment such as that which we studied in class with a representative household (exogenous labor supply  $l = 1$ , initial assets  $a_0 > 0$ ), except the final good is produced with a Leontief production function,  $y_t = f(k_t, l_t) = \min\{k_t, Al_t\}$ .

1. Show that the production function demonstrates constant returns to scale and that both inputs are necessary for production.

**Solution:**  $f$  has CRS iff  $\forall \lambda > 0, f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ .

Let  $\lambda > 0$ .

- Case 1:  $k_t \leq Al_t$ . So  $f(t, Al_t) = \min\{k_t, Al_t\} = k_t$ . Also, since  $\lambda > 0$ , we have  $\lambda k_t < \lambda Al_t$ . Thus,  $f(\lambda k_t, \lambda Al_t) = \min\{\lambda k_t, \lambda Al_t\} = \lambda k_t = \lambda f(t, Al_t)$ .
- Case 2:  $k_t > Al_t$ . So  $f(t, Al_t) = \min\{k_t, Al_t\} = Al_t$ . Also, since  $\lambda > 0$ , we have  $\lambda k_t > \lambda Al_t$ . Thus,  $f(\lambda k_t, \lambda Al_t) = \min\{\lambda k_t, \lambda Al_t\} = \lambda Al_t = \lambda f(t, Al_t)$ .

In both cases, we have the desired result, and thus  $f$  has constant returns to scale.

2. Define a competitive equilibrium.

**Solution:**

A competitive equilibrium given  $a_0$  in this environment is an allocation for households  $\{c_t, l_t, a_{t+1}\}_{\forall t}$ , an allocation for firms  $\{k_t, l_t\}_{\forall t}$ , and prices  $\{\mu_t, r_t, w_t\}_{\forall t}$ , such that:

- (a) Given prices and initial assets, the household's allocation solves their utility maximization problem (standard, but should write for practice).
- (b) Given prices, the firm's allocation solves their profit maximization problem.

$$\max_{k_t, l_t} \min\{k_t, Al_t\} - \mu_t k_t - w_t l_t$$

- (c) Markets clear  $\forall t$ :

$$c_t + k_{t+1} = \min\{k_t, Al_t\} + (1 - \delta)k_t$$

$$k_t = a_t$$

$$l_t = 1$$

3. Assume  $\mu_t < 1$ . What must be the capital choice of the firm in a competitive equilibrium? (Hint: the labor market must clear in equilibrium).

**Solution:**

A competitive equilibrium requires that a firm chooses  $l_t = 1$  since markets clear. Given that the firm is choosing this, the firm's marginal product of capital is  $MPK = 1$  if  $k_t < A$ , and  $MPK = 0$  otherwise. Thus, the firm will hire capital until marginal cost equals marginal revenue. Since  $\mu_t < 1$  (by assumption, but can be verified later), the MC of hiring an additional unit of capital is lower than the MR until  $k_t = A$ . Thus, the firm's choice of capital in a competitive equilibrium in which  $\mu_t < 1$  is  $k_t = A$ . Note that we can't use the typical  $\mu_t = MPK$  since the marginal product of capital does not exist at  $k_t = Al_t$ .

4. In a steady state equilibrium with  $\delta = 0$ , and firms earning zero profit, what are the prices in the economy? (if it helps, you can assume that  $\beta \in (.5, 1)$ ).

**Solution:**

Since  $\delta = 0$ , we have that  $\mu_t = r_t$ . Recall the household's Euler equation:

$$u'(c_t) = \beta(1 + r_{t+1})u'(c_{t+1})$$

In a steady state, we have that  $c_t = c_{t+1}$ , so we should have that

$$\beta(1 + r_{t+1}) = 1 \implies r_t = \frac{1}{\beta} - 1$$

Since the firm's choice of capital is  $k_t = A$ , and  $\mu_t = r_t = \frac{1}{\beta} - 1$ , the firm's profit is

$$\min\{k_t, Al_t\} - \mu_t k_t - w_t l_t = A - \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1\right)A - w_t$$

. Since firm profits are zero, we have that  $w_t = (2 - \frac{1}{\beta})$ .

5. What are the allocations for the household and the firm in a steady state competitive equilibrium?

**Solution:** Using market clearing, we have that  $a_t = a_{t+1} = A$ . The household's budget constraint is

$$c_t + a_{t+1} = w_t + (1 + r_t)a_t$$

Which gives us

$$c_t + A = \left(2 - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)A + \frac{1}{\beta}A \implies c_t = A$$

So the allocations are  $\{c_t, a_{t+1}\} = \{A, A\}$  for the household, and  $\{k_t, l_t\} = \{A, 1\}$

6. Write the planner's problem.

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{\{c_t, k_{t+1}\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \\
\text{s.t. } & c_t + k_{t+1} = \min\{k_t, Al_t\} + (1 - \delta)k_t, \quad \forall t \\
& c_t \geq 0, \quad \forall t \\
& 1 \geq l_t \geq 0, \quad \forall t \\
& k_0 \text{ is given}
\end{aligned}$$

7. Does the competitive equilibrium allocation solve the social planner's problem?

**Solution:**

This problem is not easy to solve for an arbitrary  $k_0 < A$  since we cannot use first order conditions for  $k_{\{t+1\}}$ . However, since there is no market power, imperfect information, or externalities, we know that the competitive equilibrium allocation does solve the planner's problem by the first welfare theorem.